

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/686,576	Applicant(s) KOKUFU ET AL.	
	Examiner Donghai D. Nguyen	Art Unit 3729	

All Participants:

(1) Donghai D. Nguyen.

(2) Ramyar M. Farid.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) Minh Trinh.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
Of Record

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agrees to make change to the claim language in order to place the case in condition for allowance by the Examiner's Amendment (see attached).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MINH TRINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)